BRYAN ACCEPTS

With Platform.

SHALL THE PEOPLE RULE

Overshadowing Question.

REPUBLICANS RESPONSIBLE.

AN Present Abuses a Result of Their Acts, and They Are Impotent to Correct Them.

Mr. Clayton and Gentlemen of the Notification Committee: I can not accept the nomination which you officially tender, without first acknowledging scrupulous wrong-doer to do what he my deep indebtedness to the Democrat- wishes unchecked, provided he has ic party for the extraordinary honor enough money."-What an arraignment which it has conferred upon me. Having twice before been a candidate for the presidency, in campaigns which ended in defeat, a third nomination, the result of the free and voluntary act of the voters of the party, can only be explained by a substantial and undisputed growth in the principles and policies for which I, with a multitude of ever political strength I possess, the action of the convention not only remy attachment to them.

A Platform Is Binding.

I shall, in the near future, prepare a more formal reply to your notification, and, in that letter of acceptance, will deal with the platform in detail. It is sufficient, at this time, to assure you that I am in hearty accord with both the letter and the spirit of the platform. I endorse it in whole and in part, and shall, if elected, regard its declarations as binding upon me. And, I may add, a platform is binding as to what it omits as well as to what it contains. According to the democratic idea, the people think for themselves and select officials to carry out their wishes. The voters are the sovereigns; the officials are the servants, employed for a fixed time and at a stated salary and to do it in the way the sovereigns want it done. Platforms are entirely in harmony with this democratic idea. A platform announces the party's position on the questions which are at issue; and an official is not at liberty to use the authority vested in him to urge personal views which have not been submitted to the voters for their approval. If one is nominated upon a platform which is not satisfactory to him, he must, if candid, either decline the nomination, or, in accepting it, propose an amended platform in lieu of the one adopted by the convention. No such situation, however, confronts your candidate, for the platform upon which I was nominated not only contains nothing from which I dissent, but it specifically outlines all the remedial legislation which we can hope to secure during the next four years.

Republican Challenge Accepted.

The distinguished statesman who received the Republican nomination for president said, in his notification speech: "The strength of the Republican cause in the campaign at hand is the fact that we represent the policies essential to the reform of known abuses, to the continuance of liberty and true prosperity, and that we are determined, as our platform unequivocally declares, to maintain them and carry them on."

In the name of the Democratic party, Ited authority. I accept the challenge, and charge that the Republican party is responsible for all the abuses which now exist in the federal government, and that it is impotent to accomplish the reforms which are imperatively needed. Further, I can not concur in the statement that the Republican platform unequivocally declares for the reforms that are necessary; on the contrary, I affirm that it openly and notoriously disappoints the hopes and expectations of reformers. whether those reformers be Republicans or Democrats. So far did the Republican convention fall short of its duty that the Republican candidate felt it necessary to add to his platform to several important particulars, thus re-

buking the leaders of the party, upon whose co-operation he must rely for the enactment of remedial legislation. As I shall, in separate speeches, dis-

cuss the leading questions at issue. I shall at this time confine myself to the paramount question, and to the farreaching purpose of our party, as that purpose is set forth in the platform.

Shall the People Rule? Our platform declares that the over- will be so obligated by campaign con-

to what subject we address ourselves, burdens. the same question confronts us: Shall the people control their own government, and use that government for the protection of their rights and for the promotion of their welfare? or shall the representatives of predatory wealth prey upon a defenseless public, while the offenders secure immunity from subservient officials whom they raise to power by unscrupulous methods? This is the issue raised by the "known abuses" to which Mr. Taft refers.

President's Indictment Against the Party.

In a message sent to congress last January, President Roosevelt said: tions on the administration's actions have been given a wide circulation throughout the country, in the newspapers and otherwise, by those writers and speakers who, consciously or unconsciously, act as the representatives of predatory wealth-of the wealth accumulated on a giant scale by all forms of iniquity, ranging from the oppression of wage earners to unfair and unwholesome methods of crushing out competition, and to defrauding the public by stock-jobbing and the manipulation of securities. Certain wealthy men of this stamp, whose conduct should be abhorrent to every man of ordinarily decent conscience, and who commit the hideous wrong of teaching our young men that phenomenal business success must ordinarily be based on dishonesty, have, during the last few months, made it apparent that they have banded together to work for a re-action. Their endeavor is to overthrow and discredit all who honestly administer the law, to prevent any additional legislation which would check and restrain them, and to secure. if possible, a freedom from all restraint which will permit every unof the predatory interests!

And, if true, against whom was the and for the purpose of concentrating indictment directed? Not against the the control of the railroads under one Democratic party.

Mr. Taft Endorses the Indictment. crept in during the last ten years. He declares that, during this time, some "prominent and influential members others, have contended. As these prin- of the community, spurred by financial ciples and policies have given me what- success and in their hurry for greater; cessity of furnishing proof; he admits wealth, became unmindful of the com- the con lition and he can not avoid the mon rules of business honesty and logical cenclusion that must be drawn news my faith in them, but strengthens fidelity, and of the limitations imposed from the admission. There is no doubt by law upon their actions!" and that, whatever that a large majority of the charges, "quickened the conscience of been pledged and granted in return for the people and brought on a moral money contributed to debauch elecawakening."

executive department, filled the cab- nish the sinews of war and then reiminet, dominated the senate, controlled burse themselves out of the pockets of the house of representatives and occu- the taxpayers? pled most of the lederal judgeships. to do what the sovereigns want done, Four years ago the Republican platform boastfully declared that since 1860-with the exception of two years

-the Republican party had been in control of part or of all the branches of the federal government; that for two years only was the Democratic party in a position to either enact or repeal a law. Having drawn the salaries; having enjoyed the honors; having secured the prestige, let the Republican party accept the responsibility!

Republican Party Responsible.

a Republican senate and house to carry out his recommendations, why does the Republican candidate plead for further time in which to do what should have been done long ago? Can Mr. Taft promise to be more strenuous in the prosecution of wrong-doers than the present executive? Can he ask for a larger majority in the senate than his party now has? Does he need more Republicans in the house of representatives or a speaker with more unlim-

Why No Tariff Reform?

The president's close friends have been promising for several years that he would attack the iniquities of the tariff. We have had intimiation that Mr. Taft was restive under the demands of the highly protected industries. And yet the influence of the manufacturers, who have for twentyfive years contributed to the Republican campaign fund, and who in return have framed the tariff schedules, has been sufficient to prevent tariff reform. As the present campaign approached, both the president and Mr. Taft declared in favor of tariff revision, but set the date of revision after the elec-

tion. But the pressure brought to bear by the protected interests has been great enough to prevent any attempt at tariff reform before the election; and the reduction promised after the election is so hedged about with qualifying phrases, that no one can estimate with accuracy the sum total of tariff reform to be expected in case of Republican success. If the past can be taken as a guide, the Republican party

shadowing issue which manifests itself | tributions from the beneficiaries of proin all the questions now under discus- tection, as to make that party powersion, is "Shall the people rule?" No less to bring to the country any mamatter which way we turn; no matter terial relief from the present tariff

Why No Anti-trust Legislation?

A few years ago the Republican leaders in the house of representatives were coerced by public opinion into the support of an anti-trust law which had the endor ement of the president. but the senale refused even to consider the measure, and since that time to effort has been made by the dominent party to becare remedial legislation upon this subject.

Why No Railroad Legislation? an enlargement of its powers, that it might prevent repates and discrimina-1904 the convention gave no pledge to remedy these abuses. When the president finally asked for legislation be drew his inspiration from three Democratic national platforms and he received more cordial support from the Democrats than from the Republicans. The Republicans in the senate deliberately defeated several amendments offerred by Senator La Follette and supported by the Democrats-amendments embodying legislation asked by the Interstate Commerce Commission, One of these amendments authorized the ascertainment of the value of railroads. This amendment was not only defeated by the senate, but it was overwhelmingly rejected by the recent Republican national convention, and the Republican candidate has sought to rescue his party from the disastrous re-

Over-issue of Stocks and Bonds.

Mr. Taft complains of the over-issue of stocks and bonds of railroads, "for Is the president's indictment true? the unlawful enriching of directors management," and the complaint is well foun 'ed. But, with a president to Mr. Taft says that these evils have point out the evil, and a Republican congress to correct it, we find nothing done for the protection of the public. Why? My how rable opponent has, by his confession, relieved me of the ne-"the revelations of the breaches of voters of the Republican party recogtrusts, the disclosures as to rebates like deplorable situation which Mr. and discriminations by railroads, the Taft Coscribes; they recognize that the accumulating evidence of the viola- masses have had but little influence tions of the anti-trust laws, by a num- upon legislation or upon the adber of corporations, and the over-issue ministration of the government, and of stocks and bonds of interstate rail- they are beginning to understand roads for the unlawful enriching of di- the cause. For a generation the Rerectors and for the purpose of concen- publican party has drawn its camtrating the control of the railroads un- paign funds from the beneficiaries of der one management,"-all these, he special legislation. Privileges have tions. What can be expected when of-During all this time, I beg to remind ficial authority is turned over to the you, Republican officials presided in the representatives of those who first fur-

Fasting In Wilderness Necessary.

So long as the Republican party remains in power, it is powerless to regenerate itself. It can not attack wrong-doing in high places without disgracing many of its prominent members, and it, therefore, uses opiates instend of the surgeon's knife. Its malefactors construe each Republican victory as an endorsement of their conduct and throaten the party with defeat if they are interfered with. Not until that party passes through a period of fasting in the wilderness, will Why were these "known abuses" the Republican leaders learn to study permitted to develop? Why have they public questions from the standpoint not been corrected? If existing laws of the masses. Just as with individare sufficient, why have they not been uals, "the cares of this world and the enforced? All of the executive ma- deceitfulness of riches choke the truth.' chinery of the federal government is so in politics, when party leaders serve in the hands of the Republican party. far away from home and are not in Are new laws necessary? Why have constant contact with the voters, conthey not been enacted? With a Re- tinued party success blinds their eyes publican president to recommend, with to the needs of the people and makes them deaf to the cry of distress.

Publicity as to Campaign Contribu-

tions. .An effort has been made to secure legislation requiring publicity as to campaign contributions and expenditures; but the Republican leaders, even in the face of an indignant public, refused to consent to a law which would compel honesty in elections. When the matter was brought up in the recent Republican national convention, the plank was repudiated by a vote of 880 to 94. Here, too, Mr. Taft has been driven to apologize for his convention and to declare himself in favor of a publicity law; and yet, if you will read what he says upon this subject, you will find that his promise falls far short of the requirements of the situation. He says:

"If I am elected president, I shall urge upon congress, with every hope of success, that a law be passed requiring the filing, in a federal office, of a statement of the contributions received by committees and candidates in elections for members of congress, and in such other elections as are constitutionally within the control of congress."

I shall not embarrass him by asking him upon what he bases his hope of success: it is certainly not on any encouragement he has received from Republican leaders. It is sufficient to say that if his hopes were realized-if, in spite of the adverse action of his convention, he should succeed in securing the enactment of the very law which he favors, it would give but partial relief. He has read the Democratic platform; not only his language, but his

evident slarm, indicates that he has but it is hardly a party question." kept in darkness until the election is past? Why should the locking of the door be delayed until the horse is gone?

An Election a Public Affair.

An election is a public affair. The For ten years the Interstate Com- people, exercising the right to select merce Commission has been asking for their officials and to decide upon the policies to be pursued, proceed to their several polling places on election day "The attacks by these great corporations, but a Republican senate and a and register their will. What excuse Republican house of representatives can be given for secrecy as to the inwere unmoved by its entreaties. In fluences at work? If a man, pecun-1900 the Republican national conven- larly interested in "concentrating the tion was urged to endorse the demand control of the railroads in one managefor railway legislation, but its platform | ment," subscribes a large sum to aid in was silent on the subject. Even in carrying the election, why should his part in the campaign be concealed until he has put the officials under obligation to him? If a trust magnate venting hostile legislation, why should | yield to public opinion; but as long as that fact be concealed until his friends | the senate is defiant, the rule of the are securely seated in their official po- people is defeated. The Democratic

This is not a new question; it is a question which has been agitated-a gateway to other national reforms." question which the Republican leaders fully understand—a question which the allow the exploiting interests to ber Republican candidate has studied, and the way by the control of this branch yet he refuses to declare himself in fa- of the federal legislature? Through vor of the legislation absolutely neces- a Democratic victory, and through a sary, namely, legislation requiring pub- Democratic victory only, can the peolication before the election.

Democratic Party Promises Publicity. How can the people hope to rule, if they are not able to learn until after sults of this act by expressing him- the election what the predatory interself, in a qualified way, in favor of ests are doing? The Democratic party party stands for it and has boldly deascertaining the value of the railroads. | meets the issue honestly and courageously. It says:

"We pledge the Democratic party to the enactment of a law prohibiting any corporation from contributing to a campaign fund, and any individual from contributing an amount above a reasonable maximum, and providing for the publication, before election, of all such contributions above a reason-

able minimum." The Democratic national committee above \$100 would be made public be- will," and adds: fore the election—those received before October 15 to be made public on or controlled in recent years by the Rebefore that day, those received after- publican party, has ceased to be a dewhen received, and no such contribu- sive to the will of a majority of the tions to be accepted within three days members, but has come under the abof the election. The expenditures are solute domination of the speaker, who to be published after election. Here has entire control of its deliberations, is a plan which is complete and effec- and powers of legislation.

Popular Election of Senators.

the present method of electing United consideration or enactment of meas-States senators is most responsible for ures desired by a majority of its memthe obstruction of reforms. For one bers." hundred years after the adoption of This arraignment is fully justified. the constitution, the demand for the The reform Republicans in the house popular election of senators, while find- of representatives, when in the minorstitutional amendment had from time had been more or less discussed in a few of the states, but the movement had not reached a point where it manifested itself through congressional action. In the Fifty-second congress, however, a resolution was reported from a house committee proposing the necessary constitutional amendment, and this resolution passed the house of representatives by a vote which was practically unanimous. In the Fifty-third congress a similar resolution was reported to, and adopted by, the house or representatives. Both the Fifty-second and Fifty-third congresses were Democratic. The Republicans gained control of the house as a result of the election of 1894 and in the Fifty-fourth congress the proposition died in committee. As time went on, however, the sentiment grew among the people, until it forced a Republican congress to follow the example set by the Democrats, and then another and another Republican congress acted favorably. State after state has endorsed this reform, until nearly two-thirds of the states have recorded themselves in its favor. The United States senate. however, impudently and arrogantly obstructs the passage of the resolution. notwithstanding the fact that the voters of the United States, by an overwhelming majority, demand it. And this refusal is the more significant such a revision of the rules as will when it is remembered that a number bring the popular branch of the federal of senators owe their election to great government into harmony with the corporate interests. Three Democratic ideas of those who framed our constinational platforms-the platforms of tution and founded our government. vote of 866 to 114, rejected the plank endorsing the popular election of senators-and this was done in the convention which nominated Mr. Taft, few delegates from his own state voting for

Personal Inclination Not Sufficient. In his notification speech, the Republican candidate, speaking of the eletion of senators by the people, says: "Personally, I am inclined to favor it.

the plank.

read it carefully. He even had before What is necessary to make this a party him the action of the Democratic na- question? When the Democratic contional committee in interpreting and vention endorses a proposition by a applying that platform; and yet, he unanimous vote, and the Republican fails to say that he favors the publica- convention rejects the proposition by a tion of the contributions before the vote of seven to one, does it not beelection. Of course, it satisfies a nat-come an issue between the parties? ural curiosity to find out how an elec- Mr. Taft can not remove the question tion has been purchased, even when from the arena of politics by expressthe knowledge comes too late to be of ing a personal inclination toward the service, but why should the people be Democratic position. For several years he has been connected with the administration. What has he ever said or done to bring this question before the public? What enthusiasm has he shown in the reformation of the senate? What influence could be exert in behalf of a reform which his party has openly and notoriously condemned in its convention, and to which he is attached only by a belated expression of personal inclination?

The Gateway to Other Reforms.

acter must run the gauntlet of the incline toward a reform; the house may consent to it; but as long as the senate obstructs the reform, the peoplatform very properly describes the popular election of senators as "the Shall we open the gate, or shall we ple secure the popular election of senators. The smaller parties are unable to secure this reform; the Republican party, under its present leadership, is resolutely opposed to it; the Democratic manded it. If I am elected to the presidency, those who are elected upon the ticket with me will be, like myself, pledged to this reform, and I shall convene congress in extraordinary session immediately after inauguration, and ask, among other things, for the fulfillment of this platform pledge.

House Rules Despotic.

to defeat the will of the people is immediately proceeded to interpret and | found in the rules of the house of rep- ernment creates corporations, it must apply this plank, announcing that no resentatives. Our platform points out retain the power to regulate and to contributions would be received from that "the house of representatives was corporations, that no individual would designed by the fathers of the consti- permit any corporation to convert itself be allowed to contribute more than tution, to be the popular branch of our into a monopoly. Surely we should \$10,000, and that all contributions government, responsive to the public have the co-operation of all legitimate

"The house of representatives, as

"We have observed with amazement the popular branch of our federal gov-Next to the corrupt use of money, ernment helpless to obtain either the

despotically suppressed these memgized congress and nominated as the drastic. Republican candidate for vice president one of the men who shared in the responsibility for the coercion of the and is pledged to adopt "such rules the welfare of society." and regulations to govern the house of representatives as will enable a majority of its members to direct its deliberations and control legislation."

"Shall the people rule?" They can merit not do so unless they can control the house of representatives, and through their representatives in the house, give expression to their purposes and their desires. The Republican party committed to the methods now the Democratic party is pledged to

1900, 1904 and 1908-specifically call Other Issues Will Be Discussed Later. for a change in the constitution which "Shall the people rule?" I repeat, is will put the election of senators in the declared by our platform to be the man; and no party can have a higher hands of the voters, and the proposi- overshadowing question, and as the tion has been endorsed by a number campaign progresses, I shall take occaof the smaller parties, but no Repub- sion to discuss this question as it man- erate. lican national convention has been ifests itself in other issues; for whether willing to champion the cause of the we consider the tariff question, the people on this subject. The subject trust question, the railroad question, my nomination to the rank and file of was ignored by the Republican national the banking question, the labor ques- our party, and that my election must convention in 1900; it was ignored in tion, the question of imperialism, the come, if it comes at all, from the un-1904, and the proposition was explicit- development of our waterways, or any purchased and unpurchasable suffrages ly repudiated in 1908, for the recent other of the numerous problems which of the American people, I promise, if Republican national convention, by a press for solution, we shall find that entrusted with the responsibilities of vancement of the common weal.

Democratic Party Has Earned Confidence.

If the voters are satisfied with the record of the Republican party and with its management of public affairs

we can not reasonably ask for a change in administration; if, however, the voters feel that the people, as a whole, have too little influence in shaping the policies of the government: if they feel that great combinations of capital have encroached upon the rights of the masses, and employed the instrumentalities of government to secure an unfair share of the total wealth produced, then we have a right to expect a verdict against the Republican party and in favor of the Democratic party; for our party has risked defeataye, suffered defeat-in its effort to arouse the conscience of the public and to bring about that very awakening to which Mr. Taft has referred.

Only those are worthy to be entrusted with leadership in a great cause who are willing to die for it, and the Democratic party has proven its worthiness by its refusal to purchase victory by delivering the people into the hands "Shall the people rule?" Every of those who have despoiled them. In remedial measure of a national char- this contest between Democracy on the one side and plutocracy on the other, senate. The president may personally the Democratic party has taken its position on the side of equal rights, and invites the opposition of those who use politics to secure special privileges and contributes \$100,000 to elect political ple must wait. The president may governmental favoritism. Gauging the friends to office, with a view to pre- heed a popular demand; the house may progress of the nation, not by the happiness or wealth or refinement of a few, but "by the prosperity and advancement of the average man," the Democratic party charges the Republican party with being the promoter of present abuses, the opponent of necessary remedies and the only bulwark of private monopoly. The Democratic party affirms that in this campaign it is the only party, having a prospect of success, which stands for justice in government and for equity in the division of the fruits of industry.

Democratic Party Defender of Honest Wealth.

We may expect those who have comnitted larceny by law and purchased immunity with their political influence, to attempt to raise false issues, and to employ "the livery of Heaven" to conceal their evil purposes, but they can no longer deceive. The Democratic party is not the enemy of any legitimate industry or of honest accumulations. It is, on the contrary, a friend of industry and the steadfast protector of that wealth which represents a service to society. The Democratic party The third instrumentality employed does not seek to annihilate all corporations; it simply asserts that as the govcontrol them, and that it should not corporations in our effort to protect business and industry from the odium which lawless combinations of capital will, if unchecked, cast upon them. ward to be made public on the day liberative and legislative body, respon- Only by the separation of the good from the bad can the good be made

Not Revolution, but Reformation.

The Democratic party seeks not revolution but reformation, and I need hardly remind the student of history that cures are mildest when applied at once; that remedies increase in severity as their application is postponed. Blood poisoning may be stopped by the loss of a finger today; it may cost an arm tomorrow or a life the next day. So poison in the body politic can not be removed too soon, for the evils proing increased expression, did not be- ity in their own party, are as helpless duced by it increase with the lapse of come a dominant sentiment. A con- to obtain a hearing or to secure a vote time. That there are abuses which upon a measure as are the Democrats. need to be remedied, even the Repubto time been suggested and the matter In the recent session of the present lican candidate admits; that his party congress, there was a considerable ele- is unable to remedy them, has been ment in the Republican party favorable fully demonstrated during the last ten to remedial legislation; but a few lead- years. I have such confidence in the ers, in control of the organization, intelligence as well as the patriotism of the people, that I can not doubt their bers, and thus forced a real majority | readiness to accept the reasonable rein the house to submit to a well organ- forms which our party proposes, rather ized minority. The Republican national than permit the continued growth of convention, instead of rebuking this existing abuses to hurry the country on attack upon popular government, eulo- to remedies more radical and more

Our Party's Ideal.

The platform of our party closes with a brief statement of the party's ideal. house. Our party demands that "the It favors "such an administration of house of representatives shall again the government as will insure, as far become a deliberative body, controlled as human wisdom can, that each citiby a majority of the people's repre- zen shall draw from society a reward sentatives, and not by the speaker," commensurate with his contribution to

Governments are good in proportion as they assure to each member of society, so far as governments can, a return commensurate with individual

The Divine Law of Rewards.

There is a Divine law of rewards. When the Creator gave us the earth, with its fruitful soll, the sunshine with its warmth, and the rains with their moisture, He proclaimed, as clearly as vogue in the house of representatives; if His voice had thundered from the clouds, "Go work, and according to your industry and your intelligence, so shall be your reward." Only where might has overthrown, cunning undermined or government suspended this law, has a different law prevailed. To conform the government to this law ought to be the ambition of the statesmission than to make it a reality wherever governments can legitimately op-

Justice to All. Recognizing that I am indebted for

the real question involved in each is, this high office, to consecrate whatever, whether the government shall remain ability I have to the one purpose of a mere business asset of favor seeking | making this, in fact, a government in corporations or be an instrument in which the people rule-a government the hands of the people for the ad- which will do justice to all, and offer to every one the highest possible stimulus to great and persistent effort, by assuring to each the enjoyment of his just share of the proceeds of his toil, no matter in what part of the vineyard he labors, or to what occupation, profession or calling he devotes himself.